

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Cr. No. 06-538 JCH


DANUEL DEAN QUAINANCE, and
MARY HELEN QUAINANCE,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendants' *Motion to Reconsider the Denial of their Motion in Limine* [Doc. no. 348], and the Court having considered all submissions of counsel, finds that the Motion fails to set out any law or fact not considered by this Court prior to the entry of its Memorandum Opinion and Order of May 9, 2007 denying Defendant Danuel Quaintance's *Motion for Reconsideration* [Doc. no. 235] and its Memorandum Opinion and Order of May 11, 2007 denying Defendant Danuel Quaintance's *Motion in Limine* and granting the Government's *Motion in Limine* [Doc. no. 236]. The Motion is therefore DENIED. *See Servants of the Paraclete v. Does*, 204 F.3d 1005, 1012 (10th Cir. 2000); *Harsco Corp. v. Zlotnicki*, 779 F.2d 906, 909 (3d Cir. 1985).

SO ORDERED this 6th day of August, 2008.



JUDITH C. HERRERA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE