UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

Clerk's Minutes

Before the Honorable Judith C. Herrera

USA v. Danuel Dean Quaintance Case No. 06-538 JH

Date: May 10, 2007

Courtroom Clerk: Lincoln Sorrell Court Reporter: Paul Baca

Court in Session: 11:13 a.m./11:36 a.m. Court in Recess: 23 minutes

Type of Proceeding: Hearing on Motion to Withdraw as Attorney by Marc Robert [229]

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

Luis Martinez, Amanda Gould Marc Robert, Mario Esparza

Proceedings:

Court in Session:

11:13 a.m. Court in session; Court was surprised by this motion. Mr. Robert argues in support

of his motion. Relationship has deteriorated such that communication is too difficult

11:19 a.m. Court addresses Mr. Quaintance as to his position with the request. Mr. Quaintance

agrees with motion. Has not been effectively represented. Court: has not ruled on motion to continue the trial but will likely grant the motion. If Robert allowed to withdraw, we will set trial for June 18. Quaintance: this does not affect my opinion.

11:23 a.m. Court: time is an issue. New attorney will have a lot of work to do. Do you

understand about the trial date.

11:25 a.m. Mr. Martinez: opposes the motion; too complicated for a new attorney to deal with

in such a short time. Trial has been simplified. Would be unfair to Mr. Quaintance to

allow Robert to withdraw.

11:26 a.m. Court: there is a breakdown in relationship and communication, which is more serious

than differences in strategy. Court: reluctantly grants motion to withdraw. Court will know if it has ruled on motion to continue before calendar call. Parties should contact

office.

11:29 a.m. Mr. Esparza addresses the court and informs that court that Mary Helen Quaintance

wants to fire him. Court: please file a motion and state your cause. Court won't rule

on that now. Court will reconvene at 1:30 p.m. for calendar call.

11:34 a.m. Government not opposed to continuance motion.

11:34 a.m. Mr. Robert: concerned that the Danuel Quaintance is not represented for the calendar

call. Court: agrees and says that it is in D. Quaintance's best interest to be there. No

objection by Mr. Quaintance.

11:36 a.m. Court in recess.