

FAX

ATTN. Mr. Marc H. Robert

Federal Public Defender

Fax Number 1 (505) 527-6933

Las Cruces office

Phone Number 1 (505) 527-6930 ext. 114

FROM Enlightened Cogniscenti Danuel D. Quaintance

Fax Number (928) 485-2952

Phone Number

SUBJECT Federal Distict NM Case no, 06m3655

Number of Pages 4

Date 3/17/2006

MESSAGE

Greetings Mr. Marc H. Robert my fax log indicates a problem and showing the fax recieved to you was sent to the Rutherford institute and I would like confirmation from you that you recieved this fax also that everyone else has recieved. I am printing my fax log and getting ready to go to town to use an untapped line if I don't here from your office within the hour of this fax being sent.

URGENT THAT YOU RESPOND

Greeting Mr. Marc H. Robert,

I am sending this fax to you today to express a few of my concerns of what has been happening since my release. I request a confirmation fax acknowledging reception, and that you will read and act upon specific request made at the end of this fax. Further I am requesting before any further documents are filed in my name that they be faxed to me first for my approval. This document is also being faxed to my sister and others that I can fax to without being in violation of my release.

First to set the record straight, I/we (my wife) have had no contact with members of the Church Of Cognizance, or other persons we are aware of that use controlled substances, as per our release agreement, other than to the extent of informing them that we can have no contact with them, and this was approved by our pretrial services officer in Tucson, Mr. Ubaldo Barrios.

We informed Mr. Barrios that we were doing everything within our power not to violate the terms of our release. Further, that we was afraid to even go out and eat with our family, because we live in a small community and that at our first meal after release that we went out to we ran into a member of the church. My daughter-in-law seemed to act very crudely by telling her to stay away from us. This member later followed my daughter into the bathroom to find out if we were mad at her for some reason, to which my daughter explained the stringent yet overly vague terms of our release. We were afraid then to go into town for fear of running into other members at other places we go to shop and take care of other business necessary to our "life" in general.

My wife nearly had a nervous breakdown on one trip to town to get photocopies, and for me to get a haircut. She has anxiety attacks every time we are separated for more than a few minutes as a result of our incarceration there in New Mexico. I am especially concerned for her mental well being as well as her physical well being, because of what this attack on our life's is doing to her.

We are routinely/blatantly followed. There are many new vehicles in town with "Texas" plates that have been following us everywhere we go. There appears to be a makeshift headquarters set up at a shop about a mile from us on Hwy 70 where our phone lines are easily tapped. We have people prowling our property at night causing the dogs to bark and keep us awake most of the night. There is whistling, that all members of the family have heard, which is one thing that draws the dog's attention. My son that must get up early in the morning is ready to kill his dog's due to the sleep deprivation resulting from there continuous barking at night. There is more air traffic than this valley has experienced the entire time we have lived here. I believe these are Physiological Warfare techniques being employed against us. I am a Vietnam era veteran and have done much research into propaganda and warfare techniques. I am aware of Mr. Kripner's attorney NCIS connections "**FY-04 Reserve O-4 JAGC Eligibles**" and the **Posse Comitatus Act** opinion that involves him in the 9th circuit opinion that discussed when military may become involved in civilian investigations. I didn't think we were that important!

Case Name:

USA V CHON 9810470 9810478

Case Number:

98-10469

Date Filed:

04/20/00

Myself, wife, family, my sisters, brother, and especially mother are concerned for my well being not only for the obvious health reasons related to my pancreas, but also due to my research into criminal activities of the Bush administration.

Not only were my computer, blankets, posters and other such items taken from this location by the people that raided our posted "Religious Sanctuary", but my equipment to gain access to the internet was taken as well. Leaving me virtually with none of my previous defense research, or ability to install web cams for outside monitoring for our safety. I have finally as of last night regained broadband access and will have cams installed today.

We are very concerned about a hit on my life. You may recall my informing you of the Les Crane execution style murder less than two weeks after the United Cannabis Ministries held our first congress in Ukiah California last fall, in which he was a key player, and where he had offered his full financial support to challenges to the current laws against our "prime deity, plant, drink of life" (deity/sacrament) anciently referred to as "Haoma" and recently confirmed, by archeological discovery in the Bactria-Margiana Archeological Complex, to be marijuana, as exposed in the May 2003 Electronic Journal of Vedic Studies out of Harvard.

I wish not only to challenge the law as it applies to us under RFRA, but also a challenge to marijuana's placement in schedule 1 of the Controlled Substance Act, and further a very powerful "challenge to the constitutional validity of the statutes" placing Marijuana, a naturally occurring, and historically cultivated plant in said statute.

Here is the question I wish to raise in my challenge to constitutional validity. I have all the documentation to support all assertions.

Whether prohibitive enactments of State and/or Federal Government relating to historically cultivated "natural plants" are constitutional, where general applicability is impeached prima fascia in provisions granting legislative power to government agencies allowing them discretion,

1. to prohibit or not prohibit, cultivation, use, or possession, of natural plants and/or their byproducts,
2. to permit exceptions to their prohibitions for secular or commercial purpose under permit or license upon any good cause shown, and, where prima fascia lack of exception, without permit of government agents, for bona fide modes of religious worship, and for personal non-commercial purposes, cause;

1. excessive government entanglement into religion,
2. prohibition upon practice of certain religions, while promoting the dogma of other religions
3. individuals in acts of peaceful demeanor to be "molested in person and/or property on account of their mode of worship",
4. promotion of hate crimes against readily identified Ethno-Socio-Religious Minorities,
5. involvement in international "Holy Wars",
6. prohibition upon liberty of conscience in choice of sustenance,
7. prohibition upon liberty of individuals to sow and reap the rewards of agriculture production of historically cultivated plants,
8. routine manifest injustice and irreparable injury by denial of inalienable human rights,
9. deprivation of due process
10. forfeiture of inheritable property working punishment on innocent heirs,
11. unequal grant of rights, privileges and immunities to corporations, and groups of individuals, denied to other groups of individuals, and individuals,
12. unequal barriers to progress in useful arts and sciences,
13. unequal barriers to compete in global markets

Where it's enforcement would violate international Treaties, and Declarations of Human and Cultural Rights, and where provisions exist in international treaties allow nations to work within their constitutional provisions.

As a final request I ask that you acknowledge reception of this fax by fax back receipt of the same, and that you will agree to give it adequate consideration before we move forward. Also I have not received the motions you said you was working on to modify our terms of probation.

Have you looked into the perjury of the DEA where he can't seem to make up his mind if the questioning occurred in the field where he had no method to document the questioning or if it occurred at the border patrol station where they should have been able to document Kripner's statements? This is near the end of the TFO agent's testimony.

Have you done anything to shift the burden to government to show compelling interest since we have most certainly by now and by prosecutions own statements in Mary's transcripts that reveal our sincerity?

I would like you also to get transcripts of said hearing if you have not already done so. Will you please do this? If not why?

Thank you for your time and assistance. Please ease the questions and anxiety I am experiencing by acknowledging you will work closely with me on this, answering my questions that concern me the most.

Sincerely, E.C. Danuel D. Quaintance, Enlightened Cogniscenti and Representative Head of the Church Of Cognizance an International Religious Organization.